IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.M.JOSEPH

FRIDAY, THE 8TH JUNE 2007 / 18TH JYAISHTA 1929

WP(C).No. 17577 of 2007(P)

PETITIONER:

V.C.JOHNSON, S/O. CHACKO, AGED 42 YEARS, VALIYIL HOUSE, THADIYAMBADU P.O., IDUKKI DISTRICT, TYPIST (UNDER ORDERS OF REVERSION), IDUKKI DISTRICT CO-OPERATIVE BANK LIMITED, HEAD OFFICE, IDUKKI.

BY ADV. SRI.JOICE GEORGE

RESPONDENTS:
----

1. **THE IDUKKI DISTRICT CO-OPERATIVE BANK LIMITED, REPRESENTED BY ITS GENERAL MANAGER, HEAD OFFICE, IDUKKI COLONY P.O., IDUKKI DISTRICT.**

2. **THE ADMINISTRATIVE COMMITTEE OF THE IDUKKI DISTRICT CO-OPERATIVE BANK LIMITED, REPRESENTED BY ITS CONVENER, HEAD OFFICE OF IDCD LIMITED, IDUKKI COLONY P.O., IDUKKI DIST.**

3. **THE REGISTRAR OF CO-OPERATIVE SOCIETIES KERALA, OFFICE OF THE REGISTRAR OF CO-OPERATIVE SOCIETIES, STATUE JUNCTION, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.**

R1 & R2 BY ADV. SHRI P.C. SASIDHARAN, SC.

R3 BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER (SHRI P. N. SANTHOSH)

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 08/06/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

K. M. JOSEPH, J.

W.P.C. NO. 17577 OF 2007 P

Dated this the 8th June, 2007

JUDGMENT

Petitioner challenges Exts.P2 and P3. According to petitioner, he was appointed as Peon and he joined duty on 6.5.2003. He was promoted as Typist. The respondent Bank is now managed by an Administrative Committee under Section 33 (1) of the Kerala Co-operative Societies Act. By Ext.P2, petitioner was reverted. Ext.P2 is dated 12.5.2007. According to petitioner, Ext.P3 is another order by which the petitioner has been called upon to pay a sum of Rs.20,895/= which he received in excess of the pay scale of June. His further case is that they were served only on 2.6.2007. Case of the petitioner is that it is done without following the procedure.

2. I heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and respondents 1 & 2 besides the learned Government Pleader. I feel that the petitioner has an effective alternate remedy under Section 69(2d) of the Act, particularly having regard to the fact

that the reversion has already taken effect. In such circumstances, the Writ Petition is disposed of relegating the petitioner to pursue the alternate remedy. The direction in Ext.P3 shall be kept in abeyance for a period of six weeks. It is for the petitioner to seek further interim order in this regard from the appropriate forum.

K. M. JOSEPH, JUDGE

kbk.